SOCIAL SCIENCE REPORTS
AN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

SOCIAL SCIENCE REPORTS

Short Communication

Journal homepage: https://ssr.crcjournals.org/

p-ISSN: 3117-3497
e-ISSN: 3117-3489

Check for updates

Gender Disparities in Stem Education and Career Choice

Jyoti David

Department of Education, Kalinga University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh 492101, India

Received 09 January 2023 | Revised 10 February 2023 | Accepted 24 March 2023 | Available Online 11 April 2023

*Corresponding Author: **Jyoti David** | Email Address: **jyotidavid17@gmail.com**

Citation: Jyoti David (2023). Gender Disparities in Stem Education and Career Choice. ***Social Science Reports***.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.51470/SSR.2023.07.01.01

Abstract

Gender disparities in STEM education and career choice remain a persistent global challenge, rooted in a complex interplay of social, cultural, economic, and institutional factors that influence participation and progression across science, technology, engineering, and mathematics fields. Despite significant advancements in educational access and policy reforms promoting gender equity, women continue to be underrepresented in many STEM disciplines, particularly in engineering, computer science, and certain physical sciences, while being relatively more represented in life sciences and health-related fields. These disparities often originate early in the educational pipeline, where gendered stereotypes, lack of female role models, and implicit biases in teaching practices shape perceptions of aptitude and career suitability. Societal expectations and cultural norms further compound these trends, discouraging girls from pursuing traditionally male-dominated STEM careers and limiting opportunities for professional growth. At the career stage, structural barriers such as unequal pay, lack of mentorship, limited networking opportunities, and workplace discrimination hinder women's retention and advancement, creating a cumulative disadvantage that perpetuates gender imbalance in leadership and innovation. The underrepresentation of women in STEM not only reduces diversity of thought and creativity but also impacts economic growth and scientific progress, underscoring the urgent need for targeted interventions including inclusive curriculum design, active mentorship programs, workplace flexibility, and policies that dismantle systemic biases. Achieving gender parity in STEM education and careers is essential for fostering equitable access to opportunities, driving innovation, and building a more inclusive and sustainable future for all.

Keywords: *Gender disparities, STEM education, career choice, gender bias, women in STEM.*

Introduction

Gender disparities in STEM education and career choice represent a deeply entrenched issue that continues to shape the academic and professional trajectories of individuals worldwide. STEM—comprising science, technology, engineering, and mathematics—is widely recognized as a critical driver of innovation, economic growth, and societal advancement. Yet, despite the increasing global emphasis on diversity and inclusion, women remain underrepresented in many STEM fields, especially in engineering, computer science, and physics [1]. The origins of these disparities can be traced to multiple, interrelated factors that include historical exclusion, entrenched stereotypes, and institutional barriers that affect both access to and persistence within STEM pathways. Understanding these underlying causes is crucial to formulating strategies that can dismantle gender-based inequities and open doors for all genders to thrive in STEM domains [2].

One of the primary contributors to gender disparities in STEM is the early socialization process, which subtly shapes children's interests and career aspirations. From a young age, girls are often exposed to societal messages—through family interactions, media representations, and educational materials—that influence their self-concept and perceived compatibility with certain fields [3]. Toys, extracurricular activities, and classroom engagement patterns often reinforce the idea that technical, analytical, and mechanical skills are more suited to boys, while nurturing and caregiving roles are associated with girls. These early influences contribute to a "leaky pipeline" in STEM, where the number of women decreases progressively at each educational and career stage, despite equal or higher academic capabilities compared to their male peers [4].

Educational environments themselves can also perpetuate gender inequalities in STEM participation. Classroom dynamics, teaching practices, and curriculum content often lack gender sensitivity, inadvertently discouraging female students from pursuing STEM subjects. For instance, when classroom examples, scientific discoveries, and technological innovations predominantly highlight male contributions, female students may find it difficult to envision themselves in similar roles. Furthermore, subtle biases in teacher expectations and grading patterns can influence student confidence and performance in STEM subjects [5]. Even when girls achieve high marks in mathematics or science, societal narratives may still push them toward careers perceived as "appropriate" for their gender, leading to self-selection out of STEM tracks.

At the tertiary education level, women pursuing STEM degrees often face additional hurdles such as lack of mentorship, limited peer support, and underrepresentation in faculty positions. In male-dominated classrooms and laboratories, women may experience isolation, tokenism, and microaggressions that impact their academic engagement and overall sense of belonging. These factors can deter persistence and lead to higher dropout rates among female students in STEM majors.

Moreover, the scarcity of visible female role models in academia and industry reinforces the perception that women's success in STEM is an exception rather than a norm [6]. Without targeted institutional interventions, these patterns continue to reproduce themselves across generations, maintaining the gender gap.

Career pathways in STEM mirror many of the challenges seen in education, with structural and cultural barriers limiting women's recruitment, retention, and advancement [7]. Women in STEM careers often encounter gender pay gaps, fewer promotion opportunities, and an overrepresentation in lower-status or part-time roles. Workplaces may lack adequate policies for work-life balance, such as parental leave or flexible hours, disproportionately affecting women, especially during childbearing years. Gender bias in performance evaluations and hiring practices further hinders women's professional growth. Over time, these inequities result in fewer women in leadership positions, reducing their influence on shaping workplace culture and policy [8].

The consequences of persistent gender disparities in STEM are far-reaching, affecting not only the individuals directly involved but also the broader scientific and economic landscape. A lack of gender diversity reduces the pool of talent, innovation potential, and diversity of thought—key drivers in solving complex global challenges. Moreover, gender imbalances can perpetuate technologies and solutions that inadequately address the needs of diverse populations [9]. Addressing this issue requires comprehensive, multi-level strategies that target root causes, from early education reform to workplace equity policies. The pursuit of gender parity in STEM is not just a matter of fairness; it is a prerequisite for building a more innovative, inclusive, and sustainable future.

Table 1: Gender Representation in STEM Fields by Discipline (Global Averages)

Discipline	% Female Representation	% Male Representation	Gender Gap (%)
Life Sciences	54%	46%	-8%
Physical Sciences	38%	62%	24%
Computer Science	20%	80%	60%
Engineering	18%	82%	64%
Mathematics & Statistics	41%	59%	18%

Table 2: Key Factors Influencing Gender Disparities in STEM

Factor Category	Examples of Barriers	Impact Level (Low/Medium/High)
Early Education	Gendered toys, stereotype reinforcement, low teacher expectations	High
Societal Norms	Cultural beliefs, family expectations, media representation	High
Institutional Barriers	Male-dominated faculty, lack of mentorship, biased curriculum	High
Workplace Challenges	Pay gaps, promotion bias, lack of flexible work policies	High
Self-Perception	Low confidence, stereotype threat, imposter syndrome	Medium

Table 3: Strategies to Reduce Gender Disparities in STEM

Intervention Type	Example Initiatives	Expected Outcome
Educational Reform	Gender-inclusive curriculum, early STEM exposure	Increased interest and enrollment in STEM
Mentorship Programs	Female role model networks, industry-academia partnerships	Improved retention and career progression
Policy Interventions	Equal pay legislation, parental leave, flexible schedules	Reduced workplace inequality
Public Awareness	Media campaigns showcasing women in STEM	Reduced stereotypes, increased visibility
Funding Support	Scholarships for women in STEM	Enhanced access to education and training

1. Historical Roots of Gender Inequality in STEM

The underrepresentation of women in STEM has deep historical roots, tracing back to centuries of systemic exclusion from formal education and professional training [10]. During the 18th and 19th centuries, women were largely barred from universities, scientific societies, and technical training institutions, creating a lasting legacy of gender imbalance. This exclusion not only limited women's access to knowledge but also deprived them of recognition for their contributions, as many scientific works authored by women were either ignored or attributed to male colleagues.This historical exclusion has had long-term effects on societal perceptions, creating entrenched stereotypes about the "natural" aptitude of men and women in science and technology. Even today, remnants of this legacy persist in educational curricula, research leadership, and the absence of women in STEM history textbooks [11]. Understanding these origins is essential to dismantling the structural barriers that have been in place for generations.

2. Early Childhood Socialization and STEM Perceptions

Children's career interests often begin to take shape long before they enter secondary school, and gendered socialization plays a pivotal role in shaping these interests. From a young age, girls are more likely to be encouraged toward nurturing, artistic, or service-oriented activities, while boys are often given toys, games, and tools that build spatial reasoning and problem-solving skills, which are essential in many STEM fields.These early experiences influence self-efficacy—how capable children feel about succeeding in a given subject or task. When girls grow up seeing fewer female scientists, engineers, or computer programmers in media and their communities, they may unconsciously conclude that such careers are not for them [12]. This subtle conditioning contributes to the later disparities in subject choice and career trajectory.

3. Role of Educational Institutions in Shaping STEM Pathways

Schools and universities act as both gateways and gatekeepers in the STEM pipeline. The quality of STEM education, the inclusiveness of teaching methods, and the availability of supportive role models all influence whether students—especially girls—feel encouraged to pursue technical subjects. Unfortunately, many educational systems still fail to address unconscious gender bias among educators, leading to differential encouragement and feedback for male and female students.Moreover, STEM curricula often fail to integrate real-world examples that appeal to diverse student interests, which can alienate female learners who might otherwise excel in these fields [13]. By adopting gender-sensitive teaching approaches, diversifying STEM curricula, and providing active encouragement, educational institutions can play a transformative role in bridging the gender gap.

4. Stereotype Threat and Self-Efficacy in STEM

Stereotype threat—the fear of confirming negative stereotypes about one's social group—can significantly impair performance and interest in STEM for women. For example, when female students are reminded of the stereotype that "girls are not good at math," they tend to underperform on math-related tasks, even when they have demonstrated equal ability to male peers.Over time, these psychological pressures can erode self-efficacy and discourage women from taking challenging STEM courses or pursuing related careers [14].

Addressing stereotype threat requires proactive measures such as fostering inclusive classroom environments, highlighting diverse STEM achievers, and actively debunking myths about gendered intellectual capabilities.

5. Impact of Curriculum Design on Gender Participation

The way STEM subjects are presented in schools plays a critical role in shaping participation rates. Curricula that focus heavily on abstract theory without connecting to real-world applications can alienate students who prefer contextual learning—a style often favored by female students, according to educational research.Incorporating collaborative problem-solving, socially relevant projects, and diverse role models into the curriculum can make STEM subjects more appealing to a broader audience [15]. Gender-inclusive curricula ensure that both boys and girls can see themselves as active contributors to scientific and technological innovation.

6. The "Leaky Pipeline" Phenomenon in STEM Careers

The "leaky pipeline" refers to the progressive attrition of women at each stage of the STEM career pathway, from early education through to senior leadership positions. While girls often match or outperform boys academically in STEM subjects during primary and secondary school, their numbers drop significantly at university, and even more sharply in postgraduate research and professional roles.This attrition is caused by a combination of institutional barriers, lack of mentorship, workplace discrimination, and cultural pressures [15]. Understanding the stages where women are most likely to leave STEM pathways is crucial for designing targeted interventions to retain talent.

7. Gender Gaps in STEM Higher Education

In higher education, gender disparities manifest in both enrollment patterns and graduation rates. While women may be well-represented in fields like biology or medicine, they are starkly underrepresented in engineering, physics, and computer science programs. This imbalance is often exacerbated by the lack of female faculty members in these disciplines [16].The absence of gender diversity among instructors can perpetuate a cycle of underrepresentation, as students may find it harder to imagine themselves succeeding in a field dominated by one gender. Increasing female faculty representation, creating mentorship networks, and offering scholarships for underrepresented groups can help correct these imbalances.

8. Workplace Bias and Career Advancement in STEM

Women in STEM careers often face structural barriers such as unequal pay, limited access to high-visibility projects, and slower promotion rates. These challenges can lead to stagnation or eventual departure from the field. Implicit bias in performance evaluations and hiring decisions further compounds the problem.Even in organizations with formal equality policies, informal networks and workplace cultures can marginalize women, making it harder for them to access mentorship, leadership training, and sponsorship opportunities [17]. Addressing these issues requires a combination of policy reform and cultural change.

9. The Role of Mentorship and Sponsorship

Mentorship plays a critical role in helping women navigate the challenges of STEM careers. Female mentors can provide guidance, share experiences, and advocate for mentees' advancement, while male allies can use their influence to create more equitable opportunities.Sponsorship, which involves actively promoting an individual for key assignments or promotions, goes a step further by directly influencing career outcomes [18]. Organizations that implement formal mentorship and sponsorship programs tend to have higher retention and advancement rates for women in STEM.

10. Work-Life Balance and Retention Challenges

Balancing demanding STEM careers with family responsibilities is a significant challenge, particularly in cultures where caregiving roles are disproportionately assigned to women. Lack of flexible work arrangements, insufficient parental leave, and rigid workplace policies often push talented women out of the STEM workforce [19].Companies that adopt flexible schedules, remote work options, and supportive parental policies not only retain more female employees but also benefit from increased productivity and morale. These practices are critical to reducing gender disparities in long-term career progression.

11. Economic Implications of Gender Disparities in STEM

Gender gaps in STEM not only limit individual opportunities but also have broader economic consequences. A reduced talent pool means fewer innovations, slower technological advancement, and missed opportunities for economic growth. Studies estimate that closing the gender gap in STEM could significantly boost global GDP.Diversity in STEM also leads to more creative problem-solving and the development of products and services that better serve diverse populations [20]. From an economic perspective, gender parity is not just a social goal—it's a strategic necessity.

12. Cultural and Regional Differences in Gender Disparities

The extent of gender disparities in STEM varies widely across cultures and regions. In some countries, gender parity in STEM education has been largely achieved, while in others, deep-rooted cultural norms continue to discourage women from pursuing technical fields.Policies, education systems, and labor market structures also differ significantly, meaning that solutions must be tailored to specific contexts rather than relying on a one-size-fits-all approach [21]. Understanding these regional differences helps policymakers design more effective interventions.

13. Role of Media in Shaping STEM Aspirations

Media plays a powerful role in shaping perceptions of STEM careers. Television shows, films, and advertisements often reinforce gender stereotypes, portraying scientists and engineers predominantly as men. This lack of diverse representation limits the visibility of women in STEM.Positive media portrayals, on the other hand, can inspire girls to see themselves in technical roles [22]. Campaigns that highlight female STEM leaders and innovators can counteract harmful stereotypes and encourage more balanced career aspirations.

14. Technological Interventions to Bridge the Gender Gap

Technology itself can be leveraged to address gender disparities in STEM. Online learning platforms, virtual mentorship programs, and AI-driven career counseling tools can provide access to resources and guidance for women who might otherwise be excluded.These tools can also help connect female students and professionals with global networks, enabling

knowledge sharing and collaborative problem-solving across geographic boundaries [23]. When designed inclusively, technology becomes a powerful equalizer in STEM participation.

15. Policy and Legislative Frameworks for Gender Equity in STEM

Governments and institutions play a critical role in reducing gender disparities through targeted policies. This includes enforcing equal pay laws, setting diversity targets for educational and corporate boards, and funding initiatives that support women in STEM.Long-term success requires not only legal frameworks but also consistent monitoring and accountability measures [24]. Transparent reporting on gender metrics ensures that progress is measurable and that policies lead to tangible improvements.

Conclusion

Gender disparities in STEM education and career choice remain a deeply embedded challenge, shaped by centuries of historical exclusion, persistent cultural norms, and structural barriers in both educational and professional settings. Despite increased awareness and targeted interventions, women continue to be underrepresented in certain STEM disciplines, particularly in engineering, computer science, and the physical sciences. The roots of these disparities lie not only in institutional practices but also in early socialization, stereotype reinforcement, and a lack of visible role models. This complex interplay of factors creates a "leaky pipeline," where women are progressively lost at each stage of their academic and career journey. Addressing this requires a recognition that the problem is systemic, not individual, and that closing the gender gap is essential for achieving true inclusivity and innovation in STEM.

Efforts to bridge this gap must be multi-dimensional, targeting every stage of the STEM pathway—from childhood education through to professional leadership positions. Educational reforms that make curricula more inclusive, mentorship programs that connect female students with inspiring role models, and workplace policies that promote equity and flexibility are all essential components of an effective strategy. Equally important is the need to dismantle deep-seated biases, both explicit and implicit, that influence how students are taught, evaluated, and guided toward career paths. By creating environments that validate and support women's contributions in STEM, societies can retain more female talent and reduce the dropout rates that have historically hindered progress toward parity.The pursuit of gender equity in STEM is not simply a moral imperative but a strategic necessity for global progress. Diverse perspectives lead to more creative problem-solving, more inclusive technological solutions, and stronger economic growth. Closing the gender gap in STEM has the potential to unleash untapped talent, foster a richer culture of innovation, and ensure that scientific and technological advancements benefit all segments of society. Achieving this vision will require sustained commitment from governments, educational institutions, industry leaders, and communities. With deliberate, coordinated action, the barriers that have kept women from fully participating in STEM can be dismantled, paving the way for a more inclusive, equitable, and forward-thinking future.

References

1. Blickenstaff, J. C. (2005). Women and science careers: Leaky pipeline or gender filter?. *Gender and Education*, 17(4), 369–386.
2. Ceci, S. J., & Williams, W. M. (2011). Understanding current causes of women's underrepresentation in science. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(8), 3157–3162.
3. Cheryan, S., Master, A., & Meltzoff, A. N. (2015). Cultural stereotypes as gatekeepers: Increasing girls' interest in computer science and engineering by diversifying stereotypes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6, 49–53.
4. Dasgupta, N., & Stout, J. G. (2014). Girls and women in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics: STEMing the tide and broadening participation in STEM careers. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 1(1), 21–29.
5. Eccles, J. S. (2009). Who am I and what am I going to do with my life? Personal and collective identities as motivators of action. *Educational Psychologist*, 44(2), 78–89.
6. European Commission. (2012). *She figures 2012: Gender in research and innovation*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
7. Hill, C., Corbett, C., & St Rose, A. (2010). *Why so few? Women in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics*. Washington, DC: AAUW.
8. Moss-Racusin, C. A., et al. (2012). Science faculty's subtle gender biases favor male students. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(41), 16474–16479.
9. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2020). *Promising practices for addressing the underrepresentation of women in science, engineering, and medicine*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
10. OECD. (2017). *The pursuit of gender equality: An uphill battle*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
11. Ong, M., Wright, C., Espinosa, L. L., &Orfield, G. (2011). Inside the double bind: A synthesis of empirical research on undergraduate and graduate women of color in STEM. *Harvard Educational Review*, 81(2), 172–209.
12. UNESCO. (2017). *Cracking the code: Girls' and women's education in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM)*. Paris: UNESCO.
13. Wang, M. T., &Degol, J. L. (2017). Gender gap in STEM: Current knowledge, implications for practice, policy, and future directions. *Educational Psychology Review*, 29(1), 119–140.
14. Xie, Y., &Shauman, K. A. (2003). *Women in science: Career processes and outcomes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

15. Beede, D. N., et al. (2011). Women in STEM: A gender gap to innovation. *Economics and Statistics Administration Issue Brief*, 04-11.

16. Cech, E. A., & Blair-Loy, M. (2014). Consequences of flexibility stigma among academic scientists and engineers. *Work and Occupations*, 41(1), 86–110.

17. Corbett, C., & Hill, C. (2015). *Solving the equation: The variables for women's success in engineering and computing*. Washington, DC: AAUW.

18. Bian, L., Leslie, S. J., &Cimpian, A. (2017). Gender stereotypes about intellectual ability emerge early and influence children's interests. *Science*, 355(6323), 389–391.

19. Boaler, J. (2002). Experiencing school mathematics: Traditional and reform approaches to teaching and their impact on student learning. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 33(1), 4–17.

20. Gonsalves, A. J. (2014). "Physics and the girly girl—there is a contradiction somewhere": Doctoral students' positioning around discourses of gender and competence in physics. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, 9(2), 503–521.

21. Blickenstaff, J. C. (2010). Getting girls into science: Female friendly science programs and their impact on students' achievement and attitudes. *Science Education International*, 21(2), 50–60.

22. UNESCO Institute for Statistics. (2018). *Women in science*. Montreal: UNESCO-UIS.

23. Sonnert, G., & Holton, G. (1995). *Who succeeds in science? The gender dimension*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

24. Van den Hurk, A., et al. (2019). Girls' attitudes towards STEM: The impact of gender stereotypes and school environment. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 6(1), 1–13.