

Evaluating the Effectiveness of India's Legal Framework in Combating White-Collar Crime and Corruption

Khageswar Panda*,  Mohini Dwivedi,  O. P. Gupta,  and Sudhir Dahiya 

Department of Law, Shridhar University, Chirawa, Rajasthan, India

Received 19 April 2025 | Revised 20 May 2025 | Accepted 15 June 2025 | Available Online 21 July 2025

*Corresponding Author: Khageswar Panda | Email Address: kpanda442@gmail.com

Citation: Khageswar Panda, Mohini Dwivedi, O. P. Gupta, and Sudhir Dahiya (2025). Evaluating the Effectiveness of India's Legal Framework in Combating White-Collar Crime and Corruption. *Social Science Reports*.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51470/SSR.2025.09.01.55>

Abstract

Business and political crime and corruption pose a formidable threat to India's socio-economic systems. Based on the doctrinal research methodology, this paper also seeks to assess how India has legislatively complied with these issues through statutes, regulations, and case law. The study examines the framework developed in previous legislation, its judicial perception and implementation and then determines the advantages and disadvantages of the framework. The study reveals that the Indian state has a wealth of legal provisions to counter white-collar crime; however, problems like bureaucratic malaise and legal sluggishness act as impediments to efficiency. Measures for legal changes and improvement of the enforcement activities are suggested to raise the efficiency of the battle against white-collar crime and Corruption.

Keywords: White-collar crime, corruption, legal framework, India.

1. INTRODUCTION

White-collar can be defined as offences that are committed for the purpose of material gain using other people's money without the knowledge of the owner through fraud and are found mainly in business organizations and other professions. Under this, it includes corruption, fraud, and embezzlement, incidents that erode the economic fabric and trust within India. Several laws in India for these crimes include the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (PCA), and the Companies Act 2013. This doctrinal research assesses the adequacy of these legal provisions and their compliance in combating white-collar crime and corruption in India. White-collar crime and corruption are measures and the principles of the rule of law, Economic development, and social stability of any country [1]. In India, such types of 'non-violent, financially motivated' offences have become new-age problems, which tend to erode public confidence as well as hamper government performance. As India's influence increases in the global arena, the impact of white-collar crime, which includes corruption, interferes with the country's development goals, good governance, and provision of fair resources.

White-Collar Crime and Corruption: Definitions and Scope

White-collar crime is defined as the unlawful act committed by people or organizations in their professional capacity, normally with the intent to make a profit. The term was first used by American sociologist Edwin Sutherland in the year 1939, a crime that is perpetrated by a respectable and professional individual while going through their legitimate business.

White-collar crimes are fictitious crimes that involve complex scams; legal acts, which are examples, include fraud, embezzlement, insider trading, tax evasion, and bribery. In India, these crimes have emerged in a comparatively recent period, and may include the never-before-seen sources of revenue or the global financial systems, MNCs, or even politicians [2]. The level of complexity of such crimes ensures that many investigations, let alone prosecutions, are difficult, presenting distinct problems for the law enforcement agencies. Scholars have attempted to understand white-collar crime in India and thus advanced the study by revealing the law and socio-economic factors. [4] wants the public to understand that corruption is a structural exercise, made worse by lacking institution and politics. Besides, the PCA, collectively with IPC as well as the Companies Act, are the major legal frameworks for preventing corruption as well as fraud [5]. According to scholarly work available on the subject, all countries have enacted sound legal frameworks, but the enforceability of these laws is doubtful. According to Gupta (2021), the lack of political will and insufficient resources hamper the functioning of laws that seek to control white-collar crimes. [3] further argue that regulatory bodies like the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) face challenges such as bureaucratic inefficiencies and corruption within their ranks, hindering their ability to prosecute offenders effectively.

2. Objectives

1. To analyze the legal provisions relevant to white-collar crime and corruption in India.

2. To assess the effectiveness of enforcement mechanisms and judicial interpretations of these laws.
3. To identify challenges in the legal framework and propose recommendations for improvement.

4. Methodology

This research is a doctrinal work that engaged the use of qualitative methods of data collection using the review of the legal and related literature, and cases. Key statutes, as outlined by the PCA, IPC, and Companies Act, are reviewed regarding their provisions, their aim, and likely difficulties in their operationalization. Furthermore, the case law analysis is conducted with a view to understanding how these laws have been implemented by the courts. Secondary sources involve academic journals and reports from such institutions as the regulatory authorities, which offer support and substantiation to the legal observations.

5. Interpretation and discussion

5.1 Legal Provisions

The legal position regarding white collar crimes in India is mainly based upon the PCA, pertaining to criminalization of bribery as well as corruption among public servants or the trade along with the private sectors. There are measures provided by the Companies Act to fight against company fraud and to protect the shareholders. The IPC also covers a variety of frauds and economic crimes as well. The foregoing laws work hand in hand to give a round legal framework that seeks to check and prosecute white-collar crime. However, the statutes defining sexual offenses are described as ambiguous by many, which makes prosecution complicated. For instance, PCA's definition of a 'public servant' has been changed and adopted in several different ways and therefore influences the handling of corrupt Practices [6].

5.2 Enforcement Mechanisms

Thus, the efficiency of legal provisions depends on the existence of enforcement procedures set by the regulatory authorities a great extent. The CBI and SEBI have a very important part in the investigation and prosecution of white-collar crime. However, both such agencies have been criticised for their inefficiencies as well as their lack of openness. For example, the CBI has been accused of subverting political consideration; hence, its capacity to investigate corruption cases independently is severely hampered. Further, due to the lack of any sort of training for a law enforcement officer dealing with white-collar crime, the investigations as well as prosecution, also turn out to be inadequate. The bureaucratic character of these agencies even adds to the paralysis of laws in terms of the enforcement of such laws within the warranted time.

5.3 Judicial Interpretation

Judicial interpretation of laws related to white-collar crime is critical in determining their effectiveness. Indian courts have played a significant role in interpreting the PCA and other relevant statutes, establishing precedents that shape the enforcement landscape. However, delays in the judicial process often result in prolonged litigation, diminishing the deterrent effect of legal provisions (NCRB, 2021). Case studies reveal instances where delays in prosecution have allowed offenders to escape accountability. For instance, high-profile corruption cases often linger in courts for years, leading to questions about the efficacy of the legal framework.

5.4 Challenges

Several challenges persist within the legal framework for combating white-collar crime in India. Some of these factors include a lack of resources, poor training, and bureaucracies within enforcement agencies that slow prosecution. Judicial Delays: In those cases, prolonged legal proceedings leave even less impact for those who perpetrate the act, while the public loses any faith in the legal system. Public Awareness: Lack of knowledge by members of the public regarding their legal provisions and ways to report cases of corrupt activities enables these corrupt individuals to behave however they wish.

6. Suggestions for the improvements

To enhance the effectiveness of India's legal framework in combating white-collar crime and corruption, the following recommendations are proposed:

- **Legal Reforms:** In some cases, it is better to clarify definitions and punitive measures within already existing legislation to reduce the level of confusion and increase homogeneity with regard to their implementation.
- **Capacity Building:** Enhancing support given to enforcement agencies through enhanced resource allocation, development of FIT, and training of units that will independently work on the cases of white-collar crimes.
- **Judicial Reforms:** Special steps to minimize delay in judgments, and the introduction of the fast-track courts for the disposal of economic crimes.
- **Public Engagement:** Strengthening credible institutions for publishing information regarding public rights and legal procedures to report incidences of corruption. Awareness creation.

7. CONCLUSION

India's anti-white-collar crime and corruption legal arsenal is well armed with several strong laws and rules. But it has its own shortcomings that are caused by enforcement problems, long-time judicial proceedings, and an inexperienced public. Mitigating these threats calls for legal measures and improvement on current and existing legal instruments to address white-collar crimes, to improve the general fight against the vice, and to regain public confidence in institutions. This doctrinal study also seems to suggest a call for more frequent review and adjustment of the legal regime in response to the emerging dynamics of white-collar crime and corruption in India.

References

1. Chaudhary, A., & Kaur, P. (2020). Legal Measures Against Corruption in India: An Evaluation of Effectiveness. *Journal of Criminal Law*, 42(3), 205-220.
2. Gupta, R. (2021). The Illusion of Anti-Corruption Laws in India: Challenges and Solutions. *Indian Journal of Law and Policy*, 9(1), 47-60.
3. Khanna, V. (2018). Corruption in India: A Socio-Legal Perspective. *Indian Law Review*, 10(2), 134-150.
4. NCRB. (2021). Crime in India: Statistics and Trends. National Crime Records Bureau.
5. Rao, S., & Singh, A. (2020). Regulatory Frameworks and White-Collar Crime: The Role of SEBI and CBI in India. *Economic Crime Journal*, 15(1), 98-112.
6. Verma, N. (2019). Bureaucracy and Corruption: An Analysis of the Enforcement Agencies in India. *Journal of Public Administration*, 37(4), 250-265.